



**CLERK, U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS**

ENTERED

**THE DATE OF ENTRY IS ON  
THE COURT'S DOCKET**

**The following constitutes the ruling of the court and has the force and effect therein described.**

**Signed October 24, 2022**

Stay G. C. George  
United States Bankruptcy Judge

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION**

HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,

Plaintiff,

Adv. Proc. No. 21-03003-sgj

VS.

JAMES DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND THE  
DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,

Case No. 3:21-cv-00881-X

## Defendants.

## HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,

§ 8

Adv. Proc. No. 21-03004-sgj

VS.

Case No. 3:21-cv-00881-X

HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT FUND  
ADVISORS, L.P.,

Defendant.

## HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,

88

Plaintiff,

Adv. Proc. No. 21-03005-sj

VS.

8

NEXPPOINT ADVISORS, L.P., JAMES  
DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND  
THE DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,

Case No. 3:21-cv-00881-X

## Defendants.

## HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,

88

Plaintiff,

Adv. Proc. No. 21-03006-sgj

VS.

388

HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT  
SERVICES, INC., JAMES DONDERO,  
NANCY DONDERO, AND THE DUGABOY  
INVESTMENT TRUST,

Case No. 3:21-cv-00881-X

## Defendants.

88

---

HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§
	§
	§
Plaintiff,	§ Adv. Proc. No. 21-03007-sgj
vs.	§
	§
	§ Case No. 3:21-cv-00881-X
HCRE PARTNERS, LLC (n/k/a NexPoint Real Estate Partners, LLC), JAMES DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND THE DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,	§
	§
	§
Defendants.	§
	§

---

**ORDER GRANTING HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.'S MOTION FOR LEAVE  
TO SUPPLEMENT BACKUP DOCUMENTATION IN SUPPORT OF  
PROPOSED JUDGMENT**

---

Upon consideration of *Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s Motion for Leave to Supplement Backup Documentation in Support of Proposed Judgment* (the “Motion”)<sup>1</sup> filed by Highland Capital Management, L.P. (“Highland” or “Plaintiff”), the plaintiff in the above-captioned Adversary Proceedings,<sup>2</sup> (a) the arguments and evidence set forth in the Motion; (b) the *Declaration of John A. Morris in Support of Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s Motion for Leave to Supplement Backup Documentation in Support of Proposed Judgment* and the exhibits annexed thereto;<sup>3</sup> (c) the arguments set forth in *Defendants' Opposition to Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s Motion for Leave to Supplement Backup Documentation in Support of Proposed Judgment*;<sup>4</sup> and (d) the arguments set forth in *Highland Capital Management L.P.'s*

---

<sup>1</sup> Adv. Proc. Nos. 21-03003-sgj, Docket No. 205; 21-03004-sgj, Docket No. 174; 21-03005-sgj, Docket No. 222; 21-03006-sgj, Docket No. 227; 21-03007-sgj, Docket No. 222.

<sup>2</sup> Capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed thereto in the Motion.

<sup>3</sup> Adv. Proc. Nos. 21-3003, Docket No. 206; 21-3004, Docket No. 175; 21-3005, Docket No. 223; 21-3006, Docket No. 228; 21-3007, Docket No. 223.

<sup>4</sup> Adv. Proc. Nos. 21-3003, Docket No. 210; 21-3004, Docket No. 179; 21-3005, Docket No. 227; 21-3006, Docket No. 232; 21-3007, Docket No. 227.

*Reply in Further Support of Its Motion for Leave to Supplement Backup Documentation in Support of Proposed Judgment;*<sup>5</sup> and this Court having jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; and this Court having found that venue of this proceeding and the Motion in this District is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1409; and this Court having found that Plaintiff's notice of the Motion was appropriate and that no other notice need be provided; and upon all of the proceedings had before this Court; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, the Court:

**FINDS AND DETERMINES THAT:**

1. Plaintiff's omission of the Supplemental Invoices appears to have been inadvertent (given that the amounts set forth therein were included in Highland's total fee request); and
2. The Court views there to be no prejudice to Defendants in granting the requested leave (given that Defendants apparently had 28 days to review the Supplemental Invoices and chose simply to oppose the Motion rather than comment on the invoices—in the alternative—as to their reasonableness or lack thereof); and based on the foregoing, it is

**HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

1. The Motion is **GRANTED**.
2. The Backup Documentation to the Notice of Attorneys' Fees in support of the Proposed Judgment is hereby deemed supplemented with the Supplemental Invoices.

###End of Order###

---

<sup>5</sup> Adv. Proc. Nos. 21-03003-s gj, Docket No. 211; 21-03004-s gj, Docket No. 180; 21-03005-s gj, Docket No. 228; 21-03006-s gj, Docket No. 233; 21-03007-s gj, Docket No. 228.